Handout 9: Radiative transfer in a star

Since Intensity is conserved in free space, we need only deal with interaction of light with matter

□ To properly account for these interactions

- We need the density of matter
- Also, the composition
 - □ i.e. H:He:C:N:O:etc. (20-30 important elements)
- The state of excitation and ionization

□ i.e. Cal:Call:Calll etc.

□ Fraction of H in a given excitation state n

Assumption of Thermal Equilibrium

Actually, TE can't be blithely assumed

- □ There is a definite T gradient
 - Energy flows from hotter to cooler
 - T increases inward into a star
- To first approx., we can often assume Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium
 - □ Locally, atoms in TE at a temperature T
 - Very good assumption in the interior
 - Not so good for the atmosphere

Applicability of LTE

Check how rapidly T changes with height in a model atmosphere

 \Box T scale height H = T/(dT/dr) ~ 600 km

• Near the $T = T_e$ level in the solar atmosphere

□ Compare H to the mean free path L of atoms

Distance, on average, travelled before collision

• If σ is cross-section, n density, L = 1/(σ n)



Mean free path

n = ρ/m ~ 10¹⁷ cm⁻³ at T = T_e level
If collision radii are r₁ and r₂
σ = π(r₁ + r₂)²
H - H collision, r = 0.5 Angstrom
σ = π 10⁻¹⁶ cm²
L = 0.02 cm << H
i.e. gas collisions tend to establish TE, but photons can travel long distances

Especially high in the atmosphere

How far will photons fly?

This is the subject of radiative xfer

- Eventually, they fly freely into outer space!
- Consider a pencil beam travelling in s direction, over a distance ds
 - Intensity will be lost due to absorption or scattering

 \square Amount lost proportional to $\sigma,$ n, and ds

$$\xrightarrow{I_{\lambda}} ds I_{\lambda} + dI_{\lambda}$$

Optical depth over path s'=0 to s'=s gives diminution of intensity

and $\kappa_{\lambda} = \frac{\sigma_{\lambda}}{-}$ Defining the mass-opacity $\rho = n \cdot m$ $\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\lambda} = \kappa_{\lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}$ The probability of collision is $ds' \cdot \sigma_{\lambda} \cdot n = ds' \cdot \kappa_{\lambda} \cdot \rho \ll 1$ The change in intensity is $dI_{\lambda} = -I_{\lambda} \cdot \kappa_{\lambda} \cdot \rho \cdot ds'$ or $\frac{\mathrm{d}I_{\lambda}}{I_{\lambda}} = -\kappa_{\lambda} \cdot \rho \cdot \mathrm{ds'}$ integrating both sides from s' = 0, $[I_{\lambda} = I_{\lambda}(0)]$ to s' = s $[I_{\lambda} = I_{\lambda}(s)]$ $\ln(I_{\lambda}(s)) - \ln(I_{\lambda}(0)) = -\int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{\lambda} \cdot \rho \, ds'$ or $I_{\lambda}(s) = I_{\lambda}(0) \cdot e^{-\tau_{\lambda}}$ $\tau_{\lambda} = \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{\lambda} \cdot \rho \, ds'$ is the "optical depth" where

Optical depth = 1, mean free path

- Over distance such that $\tau_{\lambda} = 1$ □ Intensity reduced by factor e⁻¹
- This is the mean free path for photons

 $\Box \mathbf{1} = \kappa_{\lambda} \rho L = n \kappa_{\lambda} L$

□ In the solar atmosphere, near 5000 Angstrom

■ L ~ 150 km

Since L <u>not</u> << H, LTE is only an approximation</p>

 \Box caution

"Visibility" = mean free path

- The weather report gives the "visibility", important for flying airplanes safely
 - See runway for landing, visibility > 100 m needed
 - Example, light rain falling
 - 1 mm dia drops, separation d =10 cm

$$n = d^{-3} = 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$$

 $\Box \sigma = (1 \text{ mm})^2$

- $L = 1/(n\sigma) = 10^5 \text{ cm} = 1 \text{ km}$, adequate visibility
- True whether scattering (snow, rain), or absorbing (soot)
- At radio wavelengths, L >> 1 km, because the cross section is much smaller

Plane parallel atmosphere

- The sun is a sphere, but we can treat its atmosphere as an infinite plane
 - Thickness (600 km) is much less than the radius (700,000 km)
 - True also for earth's atmosphere
 - □ 9 km thick versus 6000 km radius
- The "plane parallel" approximation is very good
 - \Box Consider rays traveling at angle θ to vertical

Extinction law in earth's atmosphere and stellar atmosphere

 $\tau_{\lambda} = \int_{0}^{h} \kappa_{\lambda} \cdot \rho \, dz$ vertical optical depth z = 0 to h

ds =
$$\frac{dz}{\cos(\theta)}$$
 $\tau_{\lambda} = \int_{0}^{z=h} \kappa_{\lambda} \cdot \rho \, ds$ optical depth along slanted path

Therefore extinction increases with θ

$$I_{\lambda}(z = h) = I_{\lambda}(0) \cdot e^{-\tau'_{\lambda}} \qquad ln\left(\frac{I_{\lambda}(z = h)}{I_{\lambda}(z = 0)}\right) = -\tau_{\lambda} \cdot sec(\theta)$$

Same extinction law in stellar atmosphere as earth's atmosphere

Sources of opacity

Both absorption and scattering count
 Note that in TE, a good absorber is a good emitter

So losses can also lead to gain

- Bound-bound
 - \square i.e. H goes from n=1 to n=3 state

Absorbing photon of energy E3 – E1

- □ Later on, atom could emit a E3-E1 photon
 - But in a random direction
 - Or it could emit E3-E2 followed by E2-E1 photons

Bound-bound, Bound-free

- Bound-bound only blocks light at discrete frequencies
 - Not so effective in blocking the whole Planck function
 - Important because otherwise the 10 million K photons in the interior would escape in 2 sec rather than 10,000 years, and the solar luminosity would be 10⁴ x 10⁷ L_{sun} – Yikes !
- Bound-free (a.k.a photoionization) blocks a wide range of frequencies

H bound-free (photoionization)

Photons with energy > $\chi = -E_n$

- \Box > 13.6 eV, Lyman continuum
 - Excess energy goes to KE of electron, heating the gas

□ > 3.4 eV, Balmer continuum

- Beyond energy of Balmer-limit as n \rightarrow infinity
- Shortward of 3647 Angstrom, the Balmer-jump
 - Sketch cross-section vs. wavelength
 - Peak ~ 10⁻¹⁷ cm², calculate L
 - Excess opacity blocks emergent intensity (solar spectrum)
 - Depresses U-band (v.graph)
 - Strongest in A0 stars